Imaging spectrometry-derived estimates of regional ecosystem composition for the Sierra Nevada, California

Stacy A. Bogan a, Alexander S. Antonarakis b, Paul R. Moorcroft c,⁎

a Center for Geographic Analysis, Harvard University, 1737 Cambridge Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
b Department of Geography, Sussex University, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QJ, UK
c Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Imaging spectrometry
Canopy composition
HyspIRI
Multiple endmember spectral mixture analysis

ABSTRACT

The composition of the plant canopy is a key attribute of terrestrial ecosystems, influencing the fluxes of carbon, water, and energy between the land surface and the atmosphere. Terrestrial ecosystem and biosphere models, which are used to predict how ecosystems are expected to respond to changes in climate, atmospheric CO₂, and land-use change, require accurate representations of plant canopy composition at large spatial scales. The ability to accurately specify plant canopy composition is important because it determines the physiological and ecological properties of plants (such as leaf photosynthetic capacity, patterns of plant carbon allocation and tissue turnover, and the resulting dynamics of plant demography) that govern the biophysical and biogeochemical functioning of ecosystems. Traditionally, plant canopy composition has been represented in a coarse-grained manner within terrestrial biosphere models, with ecosystems being comprised of a single plant functional type (PFT). However, models are increasingly seeking to represent fine-scale spatial variation in plant functional diversity. In this study, we show how imaging spectrometry measurements can provide spatially-comprehensive estimates of within-biome heterogeneity in PFT composition across a functionally diverse and topographically heterogeneous ~710 km² area in the Southern Sierra Mountains of California. AVIRIS (Airborne Visible Infrared Imaging Spectrometer) data at 18 m resolution from the recent HyspIRI Preparatory Mission (Hyperspectral InfraRed Imager) were used to estimate the sub-pixel fractions of seven PFTs represented in the ED2 terrestrial biosphere model: Shrub, Oak, Western Hardwood, Western Pine, Cedar/Fir, and High-elevation Pine, plus a Grass/NPV (Non-Photosynthetic Vegetation) fraction using Multiple Endmember Spectral Mixture Analysis (MESMA). ED2 is an individual-based terrestrial biosphere model capable of representing fine-scale sub-pixel ecosystem heterogeneity. Our results show that this methodology captures important elevation-related shifts in canopy composition that occur within the study area that are not resolved by existing multi-spectral land-cover products. These estimates modestly improved when the putative PFT endmembers considered in the mixture analysis were constrained using available geospatial data about the presence and absence of the PFTs in particular areas: the average RMSEs (root-mean-square errors) with the geospatially-constrained versus conventional method were 11.3% and 11.9% respectively, with larger reductions in the bias (i.e. mean error) in the abundances of Oak, Cedar/Fir, and Western Hardwood PFTs (ranging from 2.0% to 7.8%). At the hectare scale around four flux towers in the Southern Sierra Mountains, the overall composition improved from an RMSE of 18.2% (5.0–24.2% for individual PFTs) to an RMSE of 9.5% (3.3–13.2% for individual PFTs). Downgrading AVIRIS to 30 m resolution resulted in a reduction in accuracy of the constrained method to an RMSE of 12.7% (0–23.7%) with <1% change in the bias for all tree and shrub PFTs. Our results demonstrate that imaging spectrometry measurements from planned satellite missions such as HyspIRI, EnMAP (Environmental Mapping and Analysis Program), and HISUI (Hyper-spectral Imager SUite) can provide important and much-needed information about fine-scale heterogeneity in the composition of plant canopies for constraining and improving terrestrial ecosystem and biosphere model simulations of regional- and global-scale vegetation dynamics and function.
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1. Introduction

Our understanding of how terrestrial ecosystems are changing, and will continue to change as a result of ongoing changes in climate, increasing atmospheric CO2 concentrations, and land-use, relies heavily on terrestrial ecosystem and biosphere models. These models incorporate the impact of these different environmental forcings on terrestrial vegetation dynamics, biogeochemistry, and water and energy fluxes (Fisher et al., 2014).

Traditionally, the composition of the plant canopy within terrestrial ecosystem and biosphere models has been represented in a coarse-grained manner, with each of the earth's major biomes being represented as a single, homogeneous Plant Functional Type (PFT) (e.g. C3 grasses, needle-leaved evergreen trees, or cold- or drought-deciduous trees) (Huntzinger et al., 2012; Fisher et al., 2014). However, results of recent terrestrial biosphere modeling studies (e.g. Levine et al., 2016; Sakschewski et al., 2016) have highlighted the importance of fine-scale diversity and heterogeneity in canopy composition for predictions regarding the responses and resilience of terrestrial ecosystems to climatological perturbations. In these models, the plant canopy within each climatological grid cell is compositionally heterogeneous at the scale of individual plants within the canopy, i.e. at spatial scales of meters (see Fisher et al., 2014 for a recent review).

The incorporation of fine-scale ecosystem heterogeneity in terrestrial biosphere models has, in large part, been motivated by the desire to incorporate a greater diversity of PFTs into model simulations because diversity is an important source of ecosystem resilience (see Moorcroft, 2006 and references therein). Building upon the development of regional and global-scale databases of plant attributes, such as TRY (Kattge et al., 2011), GLOPNET (Wright et al., 2004) and the database of Aitkin et al. (2015), plant trait databases are increasingly being used to define the characteristics of plants within the terrestrial biosphere models they are representing (e.g. Verheijen et al., 2013; Fyllas et al., 2014; Sakschewski et al., 2016). Examples of important composition-related parameters within terrestrial biosphere models are given in Table A1: they include leaf photosynthetic properties that govern rates of carbon fixation and transpiration, seasonal patterns of leaf phenology, patterns of plant carbon allocation to leaves, stems and roots, rates of tissue turnover, and resulting rates of plant height and diameter growth and rates of stem mortality.

Successful implementation of this new generation of terrestrial biosphere models in regional and global scale modeling studies requires more highly-resolved information about spatial variation in the composition of plant canopies than the information provided by existing global classifications of vegetation types. The ability to more accurately specify plant canopy composition is important because it is used to specify plant physiological and ecological properties that determine the biophysical and biogeochemical functioning of ecosystems being simulated.

Remote sensing measurements offer a promising way to provide spatially-resolved, spatially-extensive information about the fine-scale variation in the composition and structure of plant canopies that can be used by the new generation of terrestrial biosphere models to improve their predictions of vegetation dynamics and the associated exchanges of carbon, water and energy between land and the atmosphere. Metrics of fine-scale ecosystem structure, such as forest canopy height (Dubayah and Drake, 2000; Drake et al., 2002; Lefsky et al., 2005) and aboveground biomass (Saatchi et al., 2007; Saatchi et al., 2011; Baccini et al., 2012), have been derived using lidar and radar active remote sensing technologies, and analyses have shown that these measurements can be successfully used to initialize and improve terrestrial ecosystem and biosphere simulations (Hurtt et al., 2004; Hurtt, 2010; Antonarakis et al., 2011). Regarding metrics of fine-scale ecosystem composition, Antonarakis et al. (2011) showed that, in addition to measures of ecosystem structure, further improvements to terrestrial ecosystem simulations required spatially-resolved, spatially-extensive information about the fine-scale or sub-pixel variation in the composition of the plant canopy. This is because, as noted earlier, plant composition determines important physiological and ecological properties of the ecosystem, which, in turn, governs its biophysical and biogeochemical functioning.

Currently, estimates of regional and global-scale terrestrial canopy composition used in terrestrial ecosystem and biosphere model simulations are derived from multi-spectral remote sensing estimates of broadly-defined land cover categories or global biome types. For example, the MODIS global land cover product (Friedl, 2010) distinguishes 8 global biome types, the Global Land Cover Product (GLOBCOVER; Bontemps et al., 2011) distinguishes 18 global vegetation types and the NLCD (National Land Cover Database) Land Cover product distinguishes 9 vegetation types. Fig. 1a shows the NLCD (Homer et al., 2015) classification of the region investigated in this study, in which the vegetation in each pixel is assumed to be homogeneous and comprised of one of five vegetation types; deciduous, evergreen, mixed forest, shrubs, and grasses. The impact of land cover type uncertainty on terrestrial ecosystem and biosphere model predictions was investigated by Quaife et al. (2008), who showed that incorrect assignment of land cover classes to PFTs, the difficulties in differentiating vegetation types, and information loss at coarse resolutions resulted in significant differences in predictions of terrestrial carbon fluxes.

Over the past two decades, studies have shown that the higher spectral resolution of imaging spectrometry sensors can yield more taxonomically- and functionally-resolved estimates of spatial variation in canopy composition (Goodenough et al., 2003; Kokaly et al., 2003; Asner et al., 2008; Féret and Asner, 2013; Ferreira et al., 2016; Clark et al., 2018). For example, Martin et al. (1998) classified eleven forest cover types in Harvard Forest, Massachusetts, Kokaly et al. (2003) distinguished nine forest types within an area of Yellowstone National Park, van Aardt and Wynne (2007) distinguished three pine species in a Virginia forest, and Clark et al. (2018) recently classified twenty-one forest types in the Californian Bay Area.

One of the most commonly used methods for estimating vegetation composition from imaging spectrometry measurements is Spectral Mixture Analysis (SMA; Adams et al., 1986), which estimates the fractional contribution of different reference spectral signatures to the reflectance signature of each pixel (Keshava and Mustard, 2002). Compared to other classification methods, such as Random Forests, which assign a single land cover type per pixel, the fractional cover estimates obtained from SMA align well with the need to specify fine-scale spatial variation in composition and structure of plant canopies in modern terrestrial ecosystem and biosphere models. See Fassnacht et al. (2016) for a recent review of classification methods applied to forested ecosystems. Ideally, SMA uses the fewest possible endmembers (i.e. ‘pure’ spectra corresponding to each vegetation or land cover type) to characterize the fractional composition within each pixel (Sabol, 1992; Adams and Gillespie, 2006), and requires some prior knowledge of the ecosystem. Multiple Endmember Spectral Mixture Analysis (MESMA; Roberts et al., 1998) builds on traditional SMA by allowing endmembers to vary in type and number on a per pixel basis with combinations of endmembers with the best fit (lowest RMSE) being chosen for each pixel. MESMA also accounts for variation in brightness between endmembers by including a shade endmember, which can be either an image-based endmember or photometric (i.e. spectrally flat, or near-zero reflectance). MESMA has been used for a number of vegetation mapping studies: Roberts et al. (1998) and Hamada et al. (2011) mapped vegetation in arid landscapes of southern California; Li et al. (2005) mapped coastal marsh vegetation in California; and Youngentob et al. (2011) mapped two Eucalyptus sub-genera in Australia.

As noted by Clark et al. (2018), however, until recently, the number of studies examining the ability of medium-resolution spaceborne hyperspectral sensors to estimate ecosystem composition has been limited. Three recent studies that have addressed this issue are Roth et al. (2015), who used MESMA to map the dominant plant species in five
different ecosystems across North America, Clark (2017) who used MESMA and machine learning to map ten vegetation cover types in the Californian Bay Area, and Clark et al. (2018) who used machine learning to classify twenty-one forest types in the Californian Bay Area. However, in all three of these analyses MESMA was used to identify and validate the dominant cover type within each pixel rather than producing estimates of the fractional composition of vegetation within each pixel.

Antonarakis et al. (2014) showed how high spatial resolution (10 m) imaging spectrometry-derived estimates of the sub-pixel level fractional PFT composition of an eastern mixed-temperate forest canopy could be combined with lidar-derived estimates of forest canopy structure to provide a spatially-resolved estimate of above-ground plant composition and structure across a ~4 km² area of the Harvard Forest Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) site in the Northeastern United States. Their analysis showed that incorporating this remote sensing-derived estimate of above-ground ecosystem state into an individual-based terrestrial biosphere model simulations yielded marked improvements in the accuracy of the model’s predictions of the ecosystem’s carbon fluxes compared to those obtained from a conventional “potential vegetation” simulation (i.e. a simulation in which canopy composition is estimated by performing a long-term, multi-century simulation until the vegetation within the model comes into equilibrium with the climatological forcing data). Moreover, the improvements were similar in magnitude to the improvements obtained from simulations initialized with ground-based inventory measurements of canopy composition and structure (Antonarakis et al., 2014).

In this analysis, we use imaging spectrometry measurements from the recent National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Hyperspectral Infrared Imager (HyspIRI) Preparatory Campaign (Hochberg et al., 2015) to estimate sub-pixel level plant functional type composition across a ~710 km² area in the Southern Sierra Mountains of California. The purpose of the HyspIRI preparatory campaign is to provide multi-temporal imaging spectrometry data over large areas of California at spatial resolutions of ~18 m and 30 m, i.e. at a spatial resolution approaching the characteristics of anticipated global imaging spectrometry missions, such as HyspIRI (Lee et al., 2015) the Environmental Mapping and Analysis Program (EnMAP, Guanter et al., 2016) and the Hyper-spectral Imager SUIte (HISUI, Matsunaga et al., 2016), and NASA’s planned Surface Biology and Geology mission (NASEM, 2018).

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the ability of such
instruments to provide reliable, spatially-extensive estimates of fractional PFT composition suitable for constraining individual-based terrestrial ecosystem and biosphere model simulations of large-scale ecosystem dynamics and functioning. As in Antonarakis et al. (2014), key characteristics of the methodology employed here compared to earlier studies are: (i) the direct alignment between definitions of the PFTs whose abundances are being estimated by remote sensing and the PFTs defined within the terrestrial biosphere model; (ii) use of the sub-pixel PFT fractional abundances to define the fine (meter-scale) sub-grid scale variation in the composition of the plant canopy within the individual-based ecosystem model. The analysis presented here moves beyond that of Antonarakis et al. (2014) in three important respects with regard to assessing the relevance of a global imaging spectrometry mission for constraining regional-to-global scale terrestrial biosphere model simulations. First, we assess the ability of this methodology to work with imaging spectrometry measurements collected at spatial resolutions of planned global missions and to be successfully applied at large spatial scales and over heterogeneous landscapes. Second, we investigate the ability to successfully estimate ecosystem composition in regions of complex terrain that have significant topographic heterogeneity. Third, we evaluate the ability to successfully estimate plant canopy composition across a variety of ecosystem types, including: mixed tree-grass savannahs, deciduous woodlands, and coniferous forests.

2. Materials & methods

2.1. Study area and data

The study area is a 710 km² area (~67.5 km × 10.5 km) in the Sierra Nevada Mountains northwest of Fresno, California (Fig. 1a–c) (National Elevation Dataset, 2009). It spans an elevational gradient ranging from 125 to 3175 m above sea level: the western third lies in the foothills of the Sierra Nevada and is dominated by oak savanna ecosystems; the central and eastern portions are higher elevation montane to subalpine ecosystems, moving eastwards from oak-savannas to pine/oak forest, through mixed conifer forests, and then to sub-alpine forest.

The study area encompasses the National Science Foundation’s Southern Sierra Critical Zone Observatory (CZO). The CZO includes four eddy-flux tower sites where carbon, water and energy fluxes are being measured and ground-based inventory measurements of canopy composition and structure are available within 200 m × 50 m (1 ha) plots located in the footprint of the flux-towers (Kelly, 2014). The four flux tower sites: San Joaquin Experimental Range (SJER), Soaproot Saddle, P301 and Shorthair, span an elevation-related and east-to-west physiographic gradient and accompanying gradient in ecosystem composition (Fig. 1b). Further details on the physical and vegetation attributes of the four sites can be found in Table 1.

Geospatial data on the plant species found within the study region were accessed in May 2014 from the United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service CALVEG (Classification and Assessment with Landsat of Visible Ecological Groupings) GIS database (CALVEG: Existing Vegetation, 2009a, 2009b, 2009c, 2010) (Fig. 2b). The CALVEG dataset is comprised of polygons (average polygon size 0.026 km²) categorized into different kinds of vegetation types (referred to as plant associations), produced by the US Forest Service using a combination of Landsat, field verification and expert guidance. Milliken et al. (1998) assessed the accuracy of CALVEG and found that its accuracy of its conifer classes in three areas of Northern California were 73%, 77% and 82% respectively, and the accuracy of its shrub classes was 91% (Milliken et al., 1998). Each plant association contains a list of plant species, but no information is provided about their relative abundances. The polygons spanning our study area were last updated in either 2001 or 2007.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flux Tower</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Precipitation (mm/yr)</th>
<th>Mean Max Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Mean Min Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Dominant Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SJER</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>Quercus douglasii, Quercus wislizenii, Pinus sabiniana, Asteraceae spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaproot Saddle</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Pinus ponderosa, Ceanothus crassifolia, Pinus jeffreyi, Abies magnifica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P301</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Pinus contorta, Pinus monticola, Abies magnifica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shorthair</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>−1.9</td>
<td>Pinus contorta, Pinus monticola, Abies magnifica</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eleven AVIRIS flight-lines were acquired on June 12, 2013 between
the times of 17:46 UTC and 19:29 UTC, and eleven AVIRIS flight-lines were acquired over the same geographic area on November 05, 2013 between the times of 18:38 UTC and 21:60 UTC as a part of the HyspIRI Preparatory Campaign. The HyspIRI preparatory campaign aims to provide multi-temporal data over large areas of California, to prototype the science that would be enabled by a corresponding global, satellite-based mission (Thompson et al., 2015). The AVIRIS data has 224 bands ranging from 400 to 2500 nm (10 nm spectral resolution) with 18 m spatial resolution (Green et al., 1998). Calibrated AVIRIS radiance data was converted to surface reflectance at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL, Pasadena, California, USA) using a three-step method. First, radiometric corrections were used to convert raw digital numbers into...
units of radiance, then ortho-rectification was used to produce geo-referenced images (available at multiple spatial resolutions) and finally, atmospheric correction was performed using the Atmospheric REMoval (ATREM) algorithm (Thompson et al., 2015). The ATREM algorithm incorporates the effects of both water vapor content and topographic elevation on optical depth in its calculation of surface reflectance values.

2.2. Definition of plant functional types

As noted in the Introduction, terrestrial ecosystem and biosphere models do not typically represent individual species, but instead group species into a series of Plant Functional Types (PFTs) that share similar biophysical, physiological, and ecological properties. In this analysis, we focused on estimating the relative abundance of seven PFTs represented in the Ecosystem Demography model version 2 (ED2) terrestrial biosphere model that are found within the study region: Western Pine, Cedar/Fir, High-elevation Pine, Western Hardwood, Oak, Shrub and Grass. Within the study area, low-elevation grasses are not actively growing in early November and June (AVIRIS images) and for this reason this cover type was labelled Grass/NPV (Non-Photosynthetic Vegetation). Note however, that high-elevation grasses may be active in the summer months (Goulden et al., 2012). The species associated with each of the PFTs is given in Table 2, and the ecological attributes (leaf, root, allocation and allometric traits) of each of the PFTs within the ED2 model are given in Table A1. Each CALVEG Association was classified according to its dominant species using the vegetation description for each Association and from this and the species—PFT correspondences given in Table 2, PFTs were assigned to each CALVEG Association using Table A1.

2.3. The MESMA algorithm

The relative abundance of the different PFTs was determined from AVIRIS imagery using Roberts et al.’s (1998) MESMA algorithm. MESMA allows endmembers of individual land covers or classification layers to vary on a per pixel basis, resulting in a selection of a weighted combination of endmembers that best describe the reflectance of each pixel. The MESMA equation is calculated as:

$$R(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f^{(j)}(\lambda) \times R^{(j)}(\lambda) + \epsilon(\lambda),$$

where \(R(\lambda)\) is the spectral mixture of pixel \(i\) in wavelength \(\lambda\), modeled as the sum of a series of reflectance endmembers, \(R^{(j)}(\lambda)\) for pixel \(i\), where \(m\) is the number of endmember classes, \(f^{(j)}(\lambda)\) is the fractional abundance of endmember class \(j\) in pixel \(i\), and \(\epsilon(\lambda)\) is a residual term describing the unexplained variation in the pixel’s reflectance in wavelength \(\lambda\).

The reflectance endmembers for the \(m\) endmember classes in pixel \(i\) are drawn from a spectral library \(L(\lambda)^{(j)}\) where \(k = 1 \ldots n_j\) is the number of spectra in endmember class \(j\). MESMA iteratively computes a series of linear mixture models using the \(n_j\) different endmembers for each endmember class \(j\), including a shade endmember to account for variation in illumination. In doing so, MESMA accounts for the observed variability in the endmembers of each endmember class. The preferred model for a given pixel \(i\) is the one that minimizes the RMSE over all spectral bands:

$$\text{RMSE} = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{B} (\epsilon(\lambda))^2 / B \right]^{1/2},$$

where \(B\) is the number of spectral bands. Following Roberts et al. (1998), the endmember combination with minimum RMSE was selected, there were no constraints on the maximum RMSE, and the shade fraction ranges from 0 to 1.

The analysis was conducted using VIPER Tools version 2.0. VIPER Tools 2.0 is an IDL-based ENVI (Harris Geospatial Solutions) extension

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species (Pseudotsuga menziesii)</th>
<th>Species (Pinus contorta)</th>
<th>Species (Pinus lambertiana)</th>
<th>Species (Pinus ponderosa)</th>
<th>Species (Juniperus californica)</th>
<th>Species (Pseudotsuga menziesii)</th>
<th>Species (Populus tremuloides)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ponderosa Pine (Pinus ponderosa)</td>
<td>Incense-Cedar (Calocedrus decurrens)</td>
<td>Red Fir (Abies magnifica)</td>
<td>Douglas-Fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii)</td>
<td>Western Juniper (Juniperus occidentalis)</td>
<td>Foothill Pine (Pinus albicaulis)</td>
<td>Colorado Blue Spruce (Picea engelmannii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponderosa Pine (Pinus ponderosa)</td>
<td>Incense-Cedar (Calocedrus decurrens)</td>
<td>Red Fir (Abies magnifica)</td>
<td>Douglas-Fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii)</td>
<td>Western Juniper (Juniperus occidentalis)</td>
<td>Foothill Pine (Pinus albicaulis)</td>
<td>Colorado Blue Spruce (Picea engelmannii)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that can be used to create, organize and evaluate spectral libraries, execute MESMA, and shade-normalize MESMA results. It builds upon VIPER Tools 1.5 (Robert et al., 2007), but has several improvements to the MESMA algorithm. In particular, with regard to the analyses conducted here, VIPER Tools 2.0 (https://sites.google.com/site/ucsbviperlab/viper-tools) does not allow negative shade fractions, thereby ensuring that shade fraction estimates are physically reasonable.

2.4. Image processing

Individual AVIRIS flight-lines from the spring and fall flights were mosaicked in ArcGIS into a single spring image and a single fall image. In areas of overlap between flight lines, the pixel with the highest reflectance value for each band was used. The spring and fall images were then subset to the study area (the red region in Fig. 1d). Spectral bands that had high levels of atmospheric contamination and bands with low signal-to-noise ratio were removed, reducing the number of bands in each image from 224 to 167 bands covering three areas of the spectrum: 443–1342 nm, 1422–1790 nm, and 2106–2405 nm. A small number of pixels (< 0.005%) that had anomalously high (above 100%) or extremely low (below 0%) reflectance values in particular wavelengths were replaced by values linearly interpolated from the reflectance values in the adjacent wavelengths. The two images were then stacked into a single composite image with 167 × 2 = 334 spectral bands. Finally, areas of the image that were urban, agriculture, barren, or water were masked out using the CALVEG data layer. A 30 m resolution version of the composite AVIRIS image was then produced by resampling the native 18 m to 30 m resolution using bilinear spatial resampling to evaluate the accuracy of the PFT classification methodology at the resolution of the expected future HyspIRI mission.

2.5. Development and analysis of the spectral library

An endmember spectral library was created by taking targeted samples from the image for the dominant species associated with each of the PFTs (See Table 3). Samples were first chosen using ground knowledge of where dominant species associated with each PFT in Table 3 are located, and by identifying CALVEG polygons whose associations were dominated by species associated with a given PFT, or where the target species existed with other easily distinguishable species (e.g. Oak and Shrub). Areas that, according to CALVEG, were highly mixed, such as the Mixed Conifer Pine were avoided (see Fig. 2b). High-resolution (30–60 cm) imagery in ArcGIS (sources: ESRI, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA FSA, USGS, AEX, Getmappint, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community) was then used to identify areas that were densely vegetated and contained high canopy cover of the target species. In addition to the vegetation signatures, a Bare-ground class, consisting of rock and soil spectral signatures, was also defined. Signatures for this class were sampled either in mountainous areas (for exposed rock) or in areas that appeared in the high-resolution imagery to be bare soil. False color composites of both the June and November images were used to ensure sampling vegetated or non-vegetated areas, and to avoid sampling overly-shaded areas, areas impacted by BDRF affects, and areas with snow cover. Samples consisted of two to several pixels, and endmembers were created by averaging the signatures of all pixels in a sample. Further details on the endmember samples can be found in Table 3.

Each pixel in the study area was assumed to be comprised of up to three of the eight endmember classes (7 PFTs plus Bare-ground) plus photometric shade in the MESMA algorithm in VIPER tools. Accordingly, each pixel was tested for: each signature in one class + shade (i.e. a 2 endmember model); each of the signature combinations between two classes + shade (i.e. a 3 endmember model); and each of the signature combinations between three classes + shade (i.e. a 4 endmember model). The selection of the best fit model for each pixel was based on the smallest RMSE (see Eq. (2)); models with more signatures were not weighted differently compared to models with less.

We evaluated two approaches in choosing which PFTs to include for each pixel. In the first approach, hereafter referred to as conventional-MESMA, all signature combinations of all eight endmember classes listed in Table 3 (the seven PFTs plus Bare-ground) were evaluated on every pixel within the study area. In the second approach, hereafter referred to as constrained-MESMA, an endmember reduction/pruning method was applied as defined in previous studies (e.g. García-Haro et al., 2005; Liu and Yang, 2013; Roth et al., 2015). In constrained-MESMA, only signatures from PFTs in Table 3 that the CALVEG habitat map indicated were likely to be present, plus Bare-ground, were tested in each pixel. For example, if a pixel belonged to the CALVEG Mixed Conifer-Fir association (described as primarily containing White Fir, Red Fir, Western White Pine, Lodgepole Pine, Ponderosa Pine, Jeffrey Pine, Manzanita and Ceanothus), it was evaluated only with spectra for the PFTs containing the species listed in that association (i.e. Cedar/Fir, High-elevation Pine, Western Pine, and Shrub, see Tables 2 and A2) plus the Bare-ground endmember class. Following the MESMA classification, the PFT abundances within each pixel were re-normalized to account for the shade fraction, which was assumed to be distributed evenly among the endmember classes (the PFTs considered plus Bare-ground) identified within each pixel.

The spectral separability between all pairs of PFTs was calculated using the Jeffries-Matusita Distance (JM Distance), a widely used separability criterion for the evaluation of classification results. This metric is included in this study to understand separability and similarity of endmember spectra used in determining PFT abundances derived from MESMA. Signatures from a pair of PFTs that are spectrally separate will most likely result in more distinct classifications and higher classification accuracy, while spectrally similar signatures may result in pixels classified with incorrect overlapping PFTs. The JM distance equation is calculated as:

\[ J_{xy} = 2(1 - e^{-d^2}), \]  

where \( J_{xy} \) is the spectral separability of PFTs \( x \) and \( y \), and the exponent

Table 3

Details of the image areas sampled for each Plant Functional Type (PFT) endmember class and the Bare-ground endmember class: columns show the area sampled per PFT, the number of samples per PFT, the minimum and maximum latitude and longitude of the areas sampled, and the elevation range of the samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PFT plus Background</th>
<th>Area Sampled (m²)</th>
<th>Number of Samples</th>
<th>Sample Max. Lat. (°N)</th>
<th>Sample Min. Lat. (°N)</th>
<th>Sample Max. Long. (°E)</th>
<th>Sample Min. Long. (°E)</th>
<th>Sample Elevation range (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Pine</td>
<td>48,600</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37.899</td>
<td>36.542</td>
<td>118.955</td>
<td>120.097</td>
<td>217–1462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar/Fir</td>
<td>42,444</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37.763</td>
<td>36.91</td>
<td>119.030</td>
<td>119.81</td>
<td>1327–2517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Pine</td>
<td>36,288</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38.221</td>
<td>36.993</td>
<td>118.697</td>
<td>119.744</td>
<td>2059–3237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Hdw</td>
<td>85,536</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38.106</td>
<td>36.657</td>
<td>118.629</td>
<td>120.07</td>
<td>109–2722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>24,624</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37.116</td>
<td>37.036</td>
<td>118.324</td>
<td>119.688</td>
<td>252–1679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>57,996</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>38.138</td>
<td>36.957</td>
<td>118.803</td>
<td>119.92</td>
<td>285–2921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass/NPV</td>
<td>49,896</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>37.121</td>
<td>37.048</td>
<td>119.053</td>
<td>119.738</td>
<td>204–2615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare-ground</td>
<td>43,094</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37.996</td>
<td>37.029</td>
<td>118.982</td>
<td>120.021</td>
<td>101–3321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conventional MESMA

(a) GRASS/NPV

(b) SHRUB

(c) OAK

(d) WESTERNHDWD

(e) WESTERNPINE

(f) CEDARFIR

(g) HIGHPINE

Fig. 3. MESMA fractional PFT estimates derived from 18 m AVIRIS reflectance using the conventional MESMA method (every PFT combination tested on each pixel). Cold colors represent low fractions, and warm colors represent high fractions on a scale from zero to one. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

\[ Q = \frac{1}{8} \left( \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \right) \left( \frac{\Sigma_x + \Sigma_y}{2} \right)^{-1} \left( \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\Sigma_x + \Sigma_y}{2} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{\Sigma_x + \Sigma_y}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(4)

where \( \mathbf{x} \) and \( \mathbf{y} \) are, respectively, the mean spectral signature vector for PFTs \( x \) and \( y \), \( t \) is the transpose function, and \( \Sigma_x, \Sigma_y \) are the covariance matrices of PFT \( x \)'s and PFT \( y \)'s spectral signature vectors (Richards and Jia, 2006).

2.6. Evaluation of the MESMA classification

MESMA classifications were first evaluated at the four flux towers shown in Fig. 1. Ground-based estimates of the tree PFT composition at the four flux tower sites were calculated from forest inventory measurements collected in a 1-hectare (200 m × 50 m) plot at each of the four sites. The plots were oriented along the dominant daytime wind direction at each site, i.e. aligning with the general orientation of the flux-tower footprints. The diameter (units, cm) and species identity of each stem within each plot was recorded (Kelly, 2014). The fractional contribution of each tree PFT to the tree canopy composition was then estimated by aggregating the cross-sectional basal area of the individual trees to obtain the total basal area (units, cm\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\)) for each of the five tree PFTs and then dividing by the total tree basal area with the plot, under the commonly-used and well-supported assumption that a tree's canopy area is strongly correlated to its basal area (e.g. Cade, 1997 for Western US forests).

Ground-based inventory measurements from ninety-five plots collected at low- to mid-elevations were then used for a subsequent independent validation of the sub-pixel MESMA-derived canopy composition estimates. Between 2014 and 2015, 76 plots were collected by Dr.
The proportional abundance estimates of the seven PFTs obtained from the conventional MESMA approach are shown in Fig. 3. Comparing these spatial abundance patterns to the corresponding spatial pattern of the PFTs indicated by their presence and absence in CALVEG habitat classification (Fig. A1) indicates three principal differences. First, conventional MESMA estimates that the Grass/NPV, Shrub and Oak PFTs are present at low abundances at high elevation areas on the eastern side of the study domain, while CALVEG indicates that they are absent in these areas (Fig. A1, Grass/NPV: compare panels (a) and (c) respectively; Shrub: compare panels (d) and (f) respectively; Oak: compare panels (g) and (i) respectively). Second, conventional MESMA estimates that the Western Hardwood PFT is broadly distributed across the study area while CALVEG indicates that it is restricted to the western portion of the study area (Fig. A1, compare panels (j) and (l) respectively). Third, conventional MESMA estimates that the Cedar/Fir and High-elevation Pine PFTs occur at low abundances in low-elevation areas in the western side of the study area while CALVEG indicates that they are absent from these areas (Fig. A1, Cedar/Fir: compare panels (p) and (g) respectively; High-elevation Pine: compare panels (s) and (u) respectively).

The fractional abundance estimates of the seven PFTs also reveals noticeable banding in the fractional abundances of the Oak and Western Hardwood PFTs at the intersection of the two eastern-most flight lines in the study area (Fig. 3, panels c and d). As shown in Fig. A2, this reflects differences in the Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Functions (BRDFs) of pixels in different flight lines affecting the MESMA composition estimates.

Fig. 4 shows conventional MESMA abundance estimates and corresponding ground-based 1-ha inventory estimates of composition available in the footprint of the four CZO flux tower sites in the study area. At the low-elevation SJER flux tower site, conventional MESMA estimates the abundances of the Oak and Western Pine PFTs at 44% and 25% compared to ground-based inventory estimates of 64% and 16%, and estimates a significant fraction (31%) of the Cedar/Fir PFT that was not present in the ground-based inventory. At the Sooproot flux tower site, conventional MESMA captures reasonably well the observed fractions of the Western Pine, Oak, and Cedar/Fir PFTs (estimates of 54%, 9%, and 34% respectively, compared to the observed estimates of 57%, 11%, and 32% respectively). However, it also incorrectly estimates a small fraction (1%) the High-elevation Pine PFT that was not present in the ground-based inventory. At the Sooproot flux tower site, conventional MESMA substantially overestimates the fraction of the High-elevation Pine PFT (estimated 39% compared to 3% observed), and under-estimates the fraction of the Cedar/Fir PFT (45% compared to the ground-based inventory measurement of 83%). At the high-elevation Shorthair flux-tower, conventional MESMA estimates match the observed fractions of both the Cedar/Fir PFT (2% compared to 1% observed) and the High-elevation Pine PFT (97% compared to 99% observed) well, but the Oak PFT was also estimated to be present, albeit at very low abundance (1%). The conventional MESMA resulted in an overall accuracy at the flux towers of RMSE 18.2%, with RMSEs of 24.2%, 17.7%, 20.0%, 5.0% for Cedar/Fir, High Pine, Oak, and Western Pine respectively.

The evaluation of the conventional MESMA product against the ninety-five ground-based inventory plots is shown in Fig. 5 and Table 4. Overall RMSEs and biases are shown for woody PFT species with RMSEs of 13.7–19.4% for Western Pine, Western Hardwood, Oak and Cedar/Fir, and RMSEs < 5% for Shrub and High Pines. Note that none of the plots contained High Pine, so non-zero values for this PFT indicate commission errors. Small biases were found for Western Pine (0.6%), Shrubs (0.9%), and High Pine (0.4%), with larger biases for Cedar/Fir (~4.4%), Oak (~7.1%), and Western Hardwood (8.3%). An assessment of the plot-level accuracy is shown in Fig. A3, which evaluates the MESMA derived estimates of canopy composition against the ground-based plot measurements of canopy composition. Grass/NPV and Bare-
ground fractional abundances were not explicitly evaluated from the 95 plots, as their fractional cover were unknown. Validation using endmember background and grass pixels produced RMSEs of 34.1% and 55.4% (14.7 and −34.5% bias), with a combined Grass/NPV + Bare-ground RMSE of 34.5% and bias of −19.9%.

### 3.2. Constrained-MESMA

The dominant patterns of ecosystem spatial distribution and transition across the CZO Study Area estimated by the constrained MESMA approach are similar to the conventional MESMA (compare Fig. 2c and d respectively). However, the relative abundances of the dominant PFTs varies between the two methods. In particular, constrained MESMA estimates a higher occurrence of Oak PFT-dominated and lower frequency of Shrub PFT-dominated areas (red versus orange colors respectively in the center-left portion of the domain in Fig. 2c and d), and a higher frequency of Cedar/Fir PFT compared to Western Pine PFT-dominated areas (blue versus dark green colors respectively in the center-right portion of the domain in Fig. 2c and d). The constrained MESMA approach also results in the Grass/NPV and Oak PFTs being absent from the high-elevation sites (Fig. 6 panels a and c), the Western Hardwood PFT restricted to the western portion of the domain (Fig. 6 panel d), and Cedar/Fir and High-elevation Pine PFTs absent from the western low-elevation portion of the study (Fig. 6 panels f and g). It also results in higher abundances of the Shrub and Oak PFTs at the mid-elevation sites (see panels b and c of Figs. 3 and 5), and increased Cedar/Fir and High-elevation Pine PFT abundances at high elevations (see panels f and g of Figs. 3 and 6).

Comparisons of the constrained MESMA abundance estimates against the ground-based inventory estimates of composition in the footprint of the four flux tower sites are also shown in Fig. 4. At the low-elevation SJER flux tower site, constrained MESMA gave a more accurate estimate for the abundance of the Western Pine PFT (20% compared to 16% in the ground-based inventory), and the Oak PFT (80% compared to 84% in the ground-based inventory). At the Sooprooto flux tower site, constrained MESMA captured the observed fractions of the Western Pine, Oak, and Cedar/Fir PFTs (estimates of 51%, 9%, and 40% respectively) compared to ground-based measurement (57%, 11%, and 32% respectively). However, at the P301 flux tower site, constrained MESMA overestimates the fraction of the High-elevation Pine PFT (estimated 22% compared to 3% in the ground-based inventory), and underestimates the fraction of the Cedar/Fir PFT (65% compared to the ground-based inventory measurement of 83%). At the high-elevation Shorthair flux tower site, constrained MESMA overestimates the Cedar/Fir PFT (18% compared to 1% in the ground-based inventory) and underestimates the High-elevation Pine PFT (82% compared to 99% in the ground-based inventory). The constrained MESMA resulted in an overall accuracy at the flux towers of RMSE 9.5%, with RMSEs of 13.2%, 12.6%, 3.3%, 4.1% for Cedar/Fir, High Pine, Oak, and Western Pine respectively. This is a modest improvement over the conventional MESMA, which has an overall RMSE of 18.2% (RMSEs of 24.2%, 17.7%, 20.0%, 5.0% for Cedar/Fir, High Pine, Oak, and Western Pine respectively).

The differences in the spatial distributions of the PFTs estimated by the conventional and constrained MESMA approaches are also evident in the elevational distribution of the PFT (Fig. 7). Using the constrained MESMA method eliminates High-elevation Pine and Cedar/Fir PFTs along the lower (<875 m) elevation areas, with a corresponding increase in the abundance of the Grass/NPV and Oak PFTs in these areas (Fig. 7, yellow and red bars respectively). Furthermore, constrained MESMA resulted in the Grass/NPV, Western Hardwood, and Oak PFTs no longer being present at mid- to high-elevations (upper range limits of 1625 m, 1625 m and 2125 m respectively). At mid-elevations (875–2125 m), the application of the geospatial distribution constraint increases the abundance of the Oak PFT. At high elevations (>2125 m) the constrained MESMA method increases the prevalence of the High-elevation Pine and Cedar/Fir PFTs, decreases the abundance of the Shrub PFT, and increases the Bare-ground fraction (indicated by the height of the grey bars in Fig. 7).

Fig. 5 and Table 4 also show plot level validation of the constrained MESMA method against the ninety-five ground-based inventory plots using both 18 m AVIRIS and AVIRIS downscaled 30 m. The constrained MESMA method resulted in Western Hardwood and Shrub RMSEs of <10%, Western Pine and Oak RMSEs between 10 and 20%, and
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Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant Functional Type</th>
<th>RMSE – Conventional 18 m</th>
<th>BIAS – Conventional 18 m</th>
<th>RMSE – Constrained 30 m</th>
<th>BIAS – Constrained 30 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Pine</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar/Fir</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>−4.4%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>−2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Hwd</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>−7.1%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>−1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Pine*</td>
<td>(1.8%)</td>
<td>(0.4%)</td>
<td>(0%)</td>
<td>(0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* None of the 95 plots contained any High Pine, so non-zero values resulting from Conventional MESMA are commission errors.
Cedar/-Fir RMSE of 22.2%. Biases for these PFTs are between −2.4 and 3.1%. The overall improvement in RMSE with the constrained vs. conventional method was small declining from 11.9% to 11.3%. This reflects reductions in the RMSEs of the Western Hardwood and High Pine PFTs of 11.1% and 1.8% respectively combined with increases in the RMSEs of the other PFTs by 0.2–4.6% (see Fig. 5 & Table 4). The Constrained 18m product substantially reduced the biases in the estimates of Western Hardwoods by 7.8% and Oaks by 5.9%. It also slightly reduced the biases of Cedar Fir and High Pine by 2.0% and 0.4% respectively, and slightly increased the biases of Western Pine and Shrub by 2.5% and 1% respectively. The accuracies of the Constrained and Conventional MESMA methods compared to plot-based measurements of composition (Fig. A3), show modest improvements in predicted vs observed slopes towards the 1:1 line for Oak and Western Pine PFTs, and eliminates the erroneous occurrence of Western Hardwoods; however, it also decreases in accuracy of the predicted-versus-observed slope and the corresponding $R^2$ values for the Cedar/Fir and Shrub PFTs.

Downgrading the spatial resolution of the AVIRIS imagery to 30 m and applying the constrained MESMA method results in generally similar RMSEs and biases to constrained 18 m MESMA: RMSEs increase by up to 4.2%, and biases change by −0.7% for Western Pines to 1% for Western Hardwoods. Similarities in the 18 and 30 m Conventional MESMA are also shown in the scatterplots of observed and predicted canopy composition (Fig. A3), except for a decrease in $R^2$ values for the Shrub PFT. The Bare-ground and Grass/NPV endmember classes in the constrained 18 m MESMA had high RMSEs of 49% and 51% and high bias (26% and −28% bias), but a significantly better combined Grass/NPV + Bare-ground RMSE of 10.5% and bias of −2.3% (30 m product RMSEs of 16.8% and bias of −5.1%). This represents a 24% and 17% improvement in combined Grass/NPV + Bare-ground RMSE and Bias of the 18 m constrained versus conventional MESMA methods.
Fig. 8 shows the fraction of wavelengths in the spring and fall that had JM distance scores of 0.9 or above, for each pairwise combination of PFTs as well as each PFT and Bare-ground. JM distance values asymptote at 2.0, indicating perfect separability (Richards and Jia, 2006). As the figure indicates, with the exception of Bare-ground/PFT pairs, levels of separability in the fall were generally equal to or higher than those in the spring.
than in the spring. The overall level of spectral separability indicated that of the twenty-eight PFT/PFT plus PFT/Bare-ground pairs, seventeen had high values of 0.9 or greater in more than half of the different band-seasons. The lowest levels of separability occurred for the Western Pine/High-elevation Pine combination (24% of spring wavelengths, 30% of fall wavelengths), Shrub/Bare-ground (32% spring, 27% fall), Grass/NPV and Bare-ground (29% spring, 34% fall), and Western Hardwood/Western Pine (16% spring, 45% fall).

4. Discussion

Knowledge regarding the large-scale spatial distribution of canopy composition across heterogeneous landscapes is critical for improving terrestrial biosphere model predictions of carbon, water and energy fluxes, and how these will be affected by changes in climate, atmospheric CO₂, and land-use. Existing national and international large-scale ecosystem canopy composition products derived from multi-spectral imagery such as that shown in Fig. 1a, do not have the fine-scale diversity and heterogeneity required by modern-day individual-based terrestrial biosphere models. In this study, we evaluated the ability of AVIRIS Airborne imaging spectrometry data to provide spatially-resolved estimates for the fractional composition of seven plant functional types (PFTs): Grasses/NPV, Shrubs, Oaks, Western Hardwoods, Western Pines, Cedar/Fir, and High-elevation Pines, across a functionally diverse and topographically heterogeneous ~710 km² area in the Southern Sierra Mountains of California via Multiple Endmember Spectral Mixture Analysis (MESMA).

Our results show that conventional MESMA, in which all seven putative PFT endmembers were included as potentially present in all pixels, captured the large-scale spatial variation in the dominant PFT composition across the study area well (Fig. 2c). However, closer examination revealed errors in the estimated spatial distributions and fractional abundance estimates of the seven PFTs at the four flux tower sites (Fig. 4). In particular, conventional MESMA incorrectly estimated that: a) Grass/NPV, Shrub and Oak PFTs were present at low abundances in high elevation areas; b) a widespread abundance of the Western Hardwood PFT, which should have been restricted to mid-elevations; and c) a low abundance of the High-elevation Pine PFT in low-elevation areas where it should have been absent (Fig. 3). Although high-elevation grasslands can exist, the large abundance estimated using conventional MESMA (Fig. 7) is not reflected in the CALVEG dataset (Fig. 2b) or NLCD (Fig. 1a). RMSEs and biases resulting from comparisons of the fractional abundances of the woody PFTs estimated with conventional MESMA against fractional abundances observed in ground-based inventory plots (Fig. 5 & Table 4), confirmed these inaccuracies.

Constrained MESMA, in which auxiliary information was used to limit the PFT endmembers considered when classifying a given pixel, captured the observed large-scale spatial variation in the dominant PFT composition across the study area without the problem of PFTs being present at low-abundance in areas where geospatial data and ground inventories indicated that they are absent (Fig. 2b). Consequently, constrained MESMA resulted in modest improvements of PFT fractional abundance estimates compared to the conventional MESMA using plot-level validation dataset (see Figs. 4 & 5 & Table 4). The largest remaining error in the constrained MESMA was in the estimates of the High-elevation Pine and Cedar/Fir PFTs abundances as seen in Fig. 4 for P301 and Shorthair, and in Cedar/Fir plot-level validations in Fig. 5. This confusion is a result of the similarity of endmember spectra: where only 47–55% of High-elevation Pine and Cedar/Fir endmember pairs had a Jeffries-Matusita distance > 0.9 (Fig. 8). Other low spectral separability of PFTs occurred between Western and High-elevation Pine (24–30%) and Western Hardwood and Western Pine (16–45%); however, confusion of these PFTs was minimized through the constrained MESMA method due to minimal co-occurrences of these PFTs.

The relatively low levels of spectral separability of Grass/NPV and Bare-ground, and Shrub and Bare-ground spectra explains why Bare-ground fractional cover increases following the exclusion of Grasses and Shrubs from higher elevation areas (see panels a and b of Figs. 3 and 6, and grey bars in Fig. 7). The low separability of Grass/NPV and Bare-ground (29–34% in Fig. 8) is also indicative of their low individual class accuracies (51% and 49% RMSEs Constrained MESMA/55.4% and 34.1% RMSEs Conventional MESMA). The two AVIRIS images, taken in June and November, were not acquired during the active growing period of the abundant low-elevation grasses (Goudlen et al., 2012), which may have contributed to the low separability between Grass/NPV and Bare-ground. However, the constrained method, reduced the co-occurrence of grasses, shrubs and bare-ground, resulting in a higher combined Grass/NPV and Bare-ground accuracy (RMSEs of 10.5% versus 34.5% for constrained vs conventional MESMA).

Use of the constrained MESMA method also greatly reduced computational time because fewer signatures need to be evaluated per pixel: conventional MESMA required 189,428 unique models to be tested for each pixel, consuming over 37 days of computational time (Intel Core i7 3.40GHz processor) while constrained MESMA evaluated an average of 4210 models per pixel (highly mixed pixels required up to 16,644 models, and highly homogeneous pixels required as few as 43 models), and took just over 1 day of computational time. These computational times would decrease in a parallel or cloud computing environment; however, the relative speed difference between the two methods of classification would remain.

The results from this study, with overall RMSEs of 11.9% and 11.3% for the conventional and constrained MESMA approaches, are comparable to accuracies reported in the literature: for example, Roth et al. (2015) reported overall kappa values in MESMA classification at Southern Sierra Nevada mixed conifer and Santa Barbara shrub/woodland ecosystems of 0.44 and 0.84 respectively, and reported that confusion was highest among species such as Calocedrus decurrens, Pinus jeffreyi, Pinus lambertiana, Pinus sabina and Quercus douglasii. Roth et al. (2012) used MESMA over Santa Barbara, with resulting overall accuracies of 80%, but with several accuracies below 50% (e.g. Shrubs and Western Hardwoods). Hamada et al. (2011) used MESMA with Quickbird imagery over Californian sage scrub communities, estimating fractional cover to an overall RMSE of 13% (9–17.5% for individual PFTs). In chaparral shrublands of California, a MESMA analysis of AVIRIS imagery by Dennison and Roberts (2003) estimated species level maps with accuracies of up to 89% (67–100% for individual species).

The results of this study build upon Antonarakis et al.’s (2014) analysis of PFT composition in three important ways. First, Antonarakis et al. (2014) estimated spatial variation in the fractional composition of five tree PFTs across a ~4 km² area within a single temperate forest biome/land cover class. In contrast, this study estimated spatial variation in the fractional composition of seven PFTs (including herbaceous and shrub as well as tree PFTs) distributed over a ~710 km² region spanning a broad range of biome types, ranging from tree-grass savannas to high elevation conifer-forests (Fig. 2d). Second, in contrast to the relatively flat and near-continuous closed-canopy forest landscape analyzed by Antonarakis et al. (2014), the region investigated in this study included significant topography (Fig. 1b), and is a landscape in which tree canopies are relatively sparse. Even when imagery has been orthorectified and the effects of topography incorporated into the atmospheric correction (see Section 2.1), topographic distortions remain. In addition, the relatively sparse tree canopies present in the region give rise to significant shadowing effects and influence from bare-ground within the imagery that increases within-class spectral variability (Fassnacht et al., 2016), which in turn, makes spectral unmixing considerably more difficult than situations with near-continuous canopy cover (Asner et al., 2007; Asner et al., 2015).

Third, planned satellite-based imaging spectrometry platforms will produce measurements similar to those used in this study. The latest proposed configuration of the HypsIRI mission has a spectral resolution
of 10 nm with bands spanning wavelengths from 0.38 to 2.5 μm, and at a spatial resolution of 30 m at nadir, comparable to Landsat (Lee et al., 2015). As shown in this study, applying the constrained MESMA to 30 m downscaled AVIRIS resulted in similar PFT spatial patterns (Figs. 2e and 7) and abundance accuracies (Figs. 4 and 5) albeit with individual PFT RMSEs up to 4.2% higher than the 18 m constrained method, but with <1% change in bias for all woody PFTs. These results demonstrate that measurements from HyspIRI, or another similar instrument, will be capable of providing important and much-needed information about the diversity and heterogeneity of plant canopy composition suitable for constraining terrestrial biosphere model predictions of large-scale ecosystem function.

4.1. Relevance to terrestrial ecosystem and biosphere models: remote-sensing constrained predictions of terrestrial carbon fluxes

As noted in the Introduction, an important application of spatially-extensive estimates of fractional PFT composition such as ones developed in this study (Fig. 2, panels c-e) is to constrain individual-based terrestrial biosphere model predictions of large-scale ecosystem dynamics and function. An illustrative example of this is shown in Fig. 9. Panel (a) shows regional carbon fluxes for the study area predicted by the ED2 individual-based terrestrial biosphere model (Medvigy et al., 2009) following ingestion of the 18-m constrained MESMA estimate of ecosystem composition (Fig. 2d) into a regional implementation of the model on a 1 km grid of climate forcing and soil properties. As the figure illustrates, Gross Primary Productivity (GPP) and Net Ecosystem Productivity (NEP) are lowest in the western, low-elevation portion of the study area (<1000 m) that is comprised mostly of oak savanna ecosystems, highest in the central, mid-elevation region (1000–2250 m) that is comprised of and pine/oak forests and, at higher elevations within this range, montane and mixed conifer forests, and intermediate in the eastern, high-elevation region (>2250 m) that is largely comprised of sub-alpine pine forests (compare Fig. 9 bottom panel to Fig. 2d).

Panel (b) shows predicted versus observed seasonal carbon fluxes (GPP and NEP) at the Soaproot CZO flux-tower site. The black lines and points are the observed carbon fluxes; the red lines are the predictions of the ED2 model when initialized with ground-based estimates of canopy composition; the blue lines are the predictions of the ED2 model using HyspIRI-based estimate of canopy composition plotted in Fig. 2d; and the grey dashed lines show the ED2 predictions from a potential vegetation simulation. The canopy composition of each simulation is shown on the right of panel b.
4.2. Using geospatial data for endmember reduction: challenges and trade-offs

As shown here, auxiliary geospatial data, such as CALVEG that provide information on the presence and absence of species across a region, can be used to constrain and improve MESMA-based classifications of canopy composition across large ecosystem gradients where the potential for ecologically-distinct, but spectrally-similar species or PFTs increases. There was a modest improvement in overall canopy composition accuracy from the conventional to constrained MESMA driven mainly by the large reduction in Western Hardwood RMSE; constrained MESMA also limited the erroneous occurrence of PFTs being present at low abundances in areas outside their expected ecological ranges — specifically, the occurrence of High Pine and Cedar/Fir in low-elevation regions and the occurrence of Oak and Western Hardwoods at high elevations. Overall, constrained MESMA reduced the biases in PFT fractional abundances by 2–7.8%, and also significantly decreased computational time.

The endmember reduction approach used here is similar to that of Roth et al. (2015), although here it is applied to a continuous scene rather than several distinct regions. Other studies have used different endmember reduction/pruning approaches. For example, Degerickx et al. (2017) reduced the endmembers considered for each pixel by calculating the distance of each library spectrum to eigenvectors from subset images and applying a spectral distance rejection threshold. Other studies (e.g. García-Haro et al., 2005; Liu and Yang, 2013) have used a-priori classifications or land cover masks (e.g. masking urban vs non-urban environments) to reduce the number of endmembers locally.

In all cases, the goal of endmember reduction methods is to reduce the occurrence of locally irrelevant classes from imagery collected over complex and heterogeneous environments. Use of available geospatial data to identify putative PFT endmembers used by Roth et al. (2015) and this study will likely be essential in the context of a global-scale mission where the number of potential PFT combinations will overwhelm a conventional MESMA approaches to estimating spatial variation in PFT abundances. This will be particularly true for modern terrestrial biosphere and ecosystem models that incorporate sub-grid scale information on plant canopy composition that is used to define the vegetation attributes of a heterogeneous and functionally diverse plant canopy (e.g. Verheijen et al., 2013; Fyllas et al., 2014; Sakschewski et al., 2016; Levine et al., 2016).

Producing accurate estimates of PFT composition from future global imaging spectrometry data will, however, inevitably require an appropriate trade-off between use of geospatial data for endmember selection while allowing for uncertainty and error in available geospatial information regarding the presence or absence of species or plant functional type in those locations. For example, in their assessment of the CALVEG dataset used in this study, Milliken et al. (1998), showed that the accuracy of geospatial data varied across regions and across plant types. Accounting for uncertainty and error in geospatial data such as CALVEG is particularly important given the ongoing changes in spatial distributions of plant species as a result of climate change, species introductions, and other human activities. In the context of future global-scale missions, currently available geospatial datasets that could be used to constrain the endmember spectra of tree species considered for a given pixel could include the European Atlas of Forest Tree Species (San-Miguel-Ayanz et al., 2016), the tree species distribution maps available via the European Data Portal (https://www.europeandataportal.eu/), and the US Forest Service’s Nationwide Datasets of Tree Species Distributions (Wilson and Riemann, 2014). However, comparable datasets providing information on the presence and absence of shrubs and herbaceous plants will also be required.

4.3. Future work

Several avenues exist for further improving imaging spectrometry-derived estimates of canopy composition. First, composition estimates will be improved by the development of detailed spectral libraries for different PFTs. PFTs are usually comprised of multiple species; however, in cases where a PFT is comprised of many species with diverse leaf properties, it will tend to exhibit a significant degree of within-PFT spectral diversity thereby reducing its spectral separability from other PFTs. One approach to this challenge is to explicitly incorporate spectral similarity and/or differences in spectrally-separable plant canopy attributes into future definitions of the PFTs distinguished in terrestrial ecosystem models. Several studies (e.g. Gillon et al., 1999; Asner and Martin, 2009, 2011, 2016; Martin et al., 2018) have concluded that it is possible to measure a number of leaf biochemical attributes such as nitrogen, phosphorous and lignin content. The mechanistic basis for observed correlations between reflectance spectra and these different biochemical attributes of leaves has been questioned by others (e.g. Knyazikhin et al., 2013a, 2013b; Wang et al., 2017) due the confounding effects of correlated variations in leaf and canopy structural attributes that also significantly affect reflectance spectra (see Ustin, 2013 for a review of this topic). However, there appears to greater consensus that other important leaf attributes, in particular, foliar chlorophyll content and Specific Leaf Area (SLA; or its reciprocal Leaf Mass per unit Area, LMA) are more directly measured with imaging spectrometry (Fourny et al., 1996; Baret and Fourty, 1997; Asner and Martin, 2009, 2011, 2016; Martin et al., 2018).

Second, in situations where identifying endmembers from satellite-acquired pixels (nominally ~30 m resolution) was difficult due to sparse canopy cover, high-resolution airborne imagery could improve classification accuracy by a) assessing whether a pixel is composed of a contiguous target canopy (as done in this study), and b) extracting pure endmembers providing the airborne imagery is concurrent with and radiometrically calibrated to the satellite imagery (see McCorkel et al., 2016). Third, as seen in Figs. 3 and 6, Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function (BRDF) effects are likely to have affected the accuracy achieved in this study. BRDF correction would likely improve the accuracy and reduce biases in the fractional cover estimates. Note however, the magnitude of BRDF effects are likely to be smaller in imagery acquired from satellite-based platform: the latest HyspIRI mission configuration has a field-of-view of around 16° (Lee et al., 2015), compared to imagery used in this study that had a field-of-view of approximately 35°.

5. Conclusions

This study evaluated the ability of imaging spectrometry measurements, collected over a functionally and topographically heterogeneous ~710 km² area in the Southern Sierra Mountains of California, to estimate sub-pixel fractions of seven PFTs; Grass/PPV, Shrub, Oak, Western Hardwood, Western Pine, Cedar/Fir, and High-elevation Pine for use in terrestrial biosphere and ecosystem model simulations. Applying the conventional MESMA approach, in which all PFTs are evaluated for every pixel, to the original 18 m resolution dataset captured the major elevation-related shifts in canopy composition that occurred within the study area. Using a constrained MESMA approach, where the putative PFTs within each pixel were constrained using available geospatial data, improved the overall accuracy and reduced the large biases in the composition estimates of Western Hardwoods and Oaks PFTs. Downscaling the remote measurements to 30 m resolution, the planned spatial resolution of the proposed global-scale HyspIRI imaging spectrometer, resulted in a small reduction in accuracy of the constrained method, but with minimal change in bias. The results of this study demonstrate that imaging spectrometry measurements from planned satellite missions such as HyspIRI, EnMAP, and HISUI will provide important and much-needed information about fine-scale heterogeneity in canopy composition necessary for improving terrestrial biosphere model simulations of regional- and global-scale vegetation dynamics and function.
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Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.03.031. These data include the Google maps of the most important areas described in this article.
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